



Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Report – Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project

Solar Krafte Utilities Inc., Municipal District of Taber, Alberta

Version 3.0 – Issued for Use

Confidential

24 November 2017

Delivered to: Jeff Thachuk, Solar Krafte Utilities Inc.



Solas Energy Consulting Inc.
Suite 119, 2-2009 33 Ave SW
Calgary, Alberta T2T 1Z5

Phone: 403-454-9463
Email: pmcgarrigle@solasenergyconsulting.com
Web: www.solasenergyconsulting.com

Acknowledgement

Prepared by: Leonard Olien
 Jason Mah
 Paula McGarrigle

Document Purpose

This report provides an assessment of glare hazard from the proposed Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project, Municipal District of Taber, Alberta, Canada.

Document History

Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project Solar PV Glare Analysis

Version	Date	Comments
1.0 – Issued for Review	20 June 2017	Initial Version for Client Review
2.0 – Issued for Review	22 November 2017	Updated Project details and name change of project from SK Enchant 1-4 to Prairie Sunlight Solar Project.
3.0 – Issued for Use	24 November 2017	Issued for Use

Disclaimer

While this document is believed to contain correct information, Solas Energy Consulting Inc. ("SOLAS") does not make any warranty, either expressed or implied, nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for accuracy, completeness, methodology, usefulness, reliability, or current status of any material contained in this document ("Report"), nor shall SOLAS assume any liability with respect to any matter or information referred to or contained in the Report, nor shall any person relying on the Report ("Recipient") or any party to whom the Recipient provides the Report or information have any claim against SOLAS arising out of such Report. The interpretation of this or any other data or report related to this project is solely the responsibility of the client.

Confidential

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	4
2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ASSUMPTIONS	5
3	GLARE REGULATIONS AND RECEPTORS.....	9
4	GLARE PREDICTION METHOD.....	11
5	RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS.....	13
6	CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION	14

Table of Figures

Figure 1:	Project Location – Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project and Proximity to the Hamlet of Enchant.....	6
Figure 2:	Project Boundary and Proposed Prairie Sunlight I Solar Panel Arrays.....	7
Figure 3:	Prairie Sunlight I Solar Arrays with Observation Points 1 to 4.....	10
Figure 4:	Reflected Light and Angle of Incidence	11

Table of Tables

Table 1:	Description of Observation Points	10
----------	---	----

Table of Appendices

Appendix A	Forge Solar Modelling Assumptions	
------------	-----------------------------------	--

Confidential

Glossary

Abbreviation or term	Definition
After-image	Visual image that persists after the stimulus that caused it has stopped.
AUC	Alberta Utilities Commission
Azimuth	Horizontal angle of the Sun around an object – north is 0°, east is 90°, south is 180°, and west is 270°.
FP	Flight path
kW _{DC}	Kilowatts Direct Current
mrاد	Measure of angle, 1/1000 th of a radian
MW _{DC}	Megawatts Direct Current
OP	Observation point
Subtended Angle	Size of an object divided by the distance from the observer.
W _{DC}	Watts Direct Current

Confidential

1 INTRODUCTION

Solar Krafte Utilities Inc. (Solar Krafte) is developing a utility-scale solar photovoltaic system in southern Alberta. The 90.6 MW_{DC} solar photovoltaic (PV) plant called the Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project (Project) is located 13 kilometres north of the hamlet of Enchant in the MD of Taber, Alberta.

Photovoltaic (PV) solar panels convert sunlight into electricity; however, up to 10 percent of the sunlight may be reflected into the surrounding areas¹. In certain situations, the reflected sunlight can produce a glint (a momentary flash of bright light) and glare (a continuous source of bright light) that may have a visual impact on individuals.

Solar Krafte retained Solas Energy Consulting Inc. (Solas) to conduct a glare analysis for observation points at nearby residences and from major roadways near the Project. Solas performed an analysis from residential locations and points along roads and intersections adjacent to the Project.

This report documents the potential for solar glare from the Project on individuals at the observation points.

¹ Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Tool (SGHAT) User's Manual v 1.0, Ho and Sims, Sandia National Laboratories, 2013.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ASSUMPTIONS

The 90.6 MW_{DC} Project is a ground-mounted, single-axis tracking solar PV array located on agricultural land. Figure 1 shows the Project's approximate location. The Project will connect to the distribution system, and is expected to be built in 2018-2019.

The Project is split into two main areas by Range Road 183. The Enchant Substation is located at the far south end of the Project land. The hamlet of Enchant is 13 kilometres south of the Project. The Project is in a remote location where the surrounding terrain is relatively flat, and few trees are present. Badger Lake lies 9 kilometres to the north of the Project.

There are no nearby airports or heliports within 3 kilometres of the Project.

The Project will use approximately 755,340 solar PV panels of 120 W_{DC} each. These panels will use a single-axis tracking system with tracking axes oriented one degree west of south (181 degrees azimuth). The tracking axis will be horizontal (parallel to the ground), and the panel will not have a vertical offset angle. The panel tracking angle will be limited to 60 degrees from horizontal in either direction. The tracking axis, located at the top of the racking, will be 2.00 metres above ground level. At full rotation, the edges of the panels will extend from 0.91 metres to 3.09 metres (3.0 feet to 10.0 feet) above ground level².

The Project integrates setbacks from property lines, irrigation canals, roads, and oil and gas facilities.

² Data provided by Solar Krafte




Figure 1: Project Location – Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project and Proximity to the Hamlet of Enchant

The Project is on approximately 548 acres of land. Figure 2 outlines the Project area in red and shows the solar array as the dark interior area.

Confidential



Figure 2: Project Boundary and Proposed Prairie Sunlight I Solar Panel Arrays

Confidential

2.1 Project Assumptions

Solas assumed a constant elevation for the entire site to emulate the approximate grading that will occur during construction. A change of grade will modify the results from the glare analysis.

Solas used approximate locations for the vertices of the solar array in the analysis, as exact coordinates were not available. Solas assumed the bottom of the panels is 3.0 feet (0.91 metres) from the ground, while it assumed the top of the panels is 10.0 feet (3.09 metres) from the ground, when the panels are fully rotated (60 degrees from horizontal).

The model assumes the reflective surface lies in a plane defined by the array vertices, so the analysis is run at the top and bottom elevations to determine glare due to different parts of the panels. The analysis was also run at an elevation of 6.5 feet (2.00 metres) to help identify trends in the frequency and size of glare. Detailed input parameters and assumptions can be found in Appendix A.

Confidential

3 GLARE REGULATIONS AND RECEPTORS

At the time of writing, there are no Canadian federal, provincial or municipal regulations or requirements regarding glare from solar projects. In the United States, the Federal Aviation Administration stipulates that any glare along an aircraft's flight landing path must have a low potential of producing after-image³. Glare outside of 50 degrees of the pilot's line of sight is not considered a risk.

Solas selected multiple observation points to assess the potential glare on nearby residents and vehicle routes. Roadway intersections were also identified as locations that could be subject to risk due to glare. Solas evaluated observation points for residences at an elevation of six feet above ground level to mimic a person standing at a window. Solas evaluated observation points on roads at an elevation of four feet to mimic a driver sitting in a small truck or passenger vehicle.

Solas completed a review of registered airstrips and helipads within three kilometres of the Project, and did not find any. Therefore, Solas did not take flight paths into consideration.

Solas analyzed the potential for glare at the observation points shown in Figure 3.

³ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2013/10/23/2013-24729/interim-policy-faa-review-of-solar-energy-system-projects-on-federally-obligated-airports>



Figure 3: Prairie Sunlight I Solar Arrays with Observation Points 1 to 4

Table 1 lists the observation points used in the analysis.

Table 1: Description of Observation Points

Observation Point	Location	Notes
1	Residence	
2	Residence	
3	Rge Rd 183 & Twp Rd 154	
4	Twp Rd 154 & access road	

Confidential

4 GLARE PREDICTION METHOD

The impact of glare depends on the interaction between the position of the sun, the tilt of the solar panels, the reflectivity of the panels' surface, the size of the project, and the relative location of the driving path or the observer. Solas did not consider the screening effect from existing or proposed hedgerows or other objects in this evaluation.

The sun's position is described using the angle of elevation and solar azimuth. The angle of elevation is described as the angle between the horizon and the centre of the sun. The azimuth is measured as the angle from true north in a clockwise direction.

Solas performed the glare analysis using the Forge Solar Glare Gauge⁴ software tool. This tool uses project inputs and solar positioning calculations to determine if glare will occur at identified observation points. If glare is found, the tool calculates the retinal irradiance (brightness) and subtended angle (size divided by distance) of the glare source. These two factors predict ocular hazards ranging from temporary after-image to retinal burn. Minor topographic features are not always identified in Glare Gauge, as the topographic contours are based on information from Google Earth.

“Green” rated glare indicates a low potential for after-image, while “yellow” rated glare indicates the potential for after-image exists, and “red” rated glare indicates the potential for retinal damage. Glare that is beyond 50 degrees from a driver's line-of-sight does not constitute a safety hazard.⁵

The amount of light reflected by a solar panel depends on the angle of incidence of the sunlight on the panel as illustrated in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Reflected Light and Angle of Incidence

⁴ Copyright, Sims Industries, 2015

⁵ SGHAT_Users_Manual_v2-F.pdf

Confidential

On average, a solar panel reflects approximately 10 percent of sunlight⁶, which is about the same as open water⁷. Anti-reflection coating on the solar panel can reduce the reflection to one to two percent on average. The software models the reflectivity for each angle of incidence based on experiments Sandia National Laboratories performed for a variety of different panel constructions⁸. Very little light is reflected when the sun is nearly perpendicular to the panel, but more light is reflected when the sun is at a shallow angle to the panel.

The software uses an interactive Google map. Solas uses Google maps to define the location and size of the PV arrays, characteristics of the PV array, and position of observers.

⁶ Lasnier and Ang, 1990, Photovoltaic Engineering Handbook. Taylor & Francis, New York.

⁷ US EPA, 2013, AERSURFACE User's guide, EPA-454/B-08-001.

⁸ Sandia National Laboratories, 2014, Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Tool (SGHAT) User's Manual v. 2F, Appendix E

Confidential

5 RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS

Given the parameters and assumptions listed in Appendix A, it is predicted that the Prairie Sunlight I Solar Project arrays will not produce glare at any hazard level at any height for the observation points evaluated.

Confidential

6 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis of the Project indicate that, based on the assumptions used, there will not be any hazard due to glare at any of the observation points evaluated. Residents and drivers on roads near the arrays will not be adversely affected by the installation of the Project.

This analysis is limited in that cloud cover and other obstructions have not been modelled. In addition, any change in grade from that specified will change the results of the analysis.

Confidential

Appendix A Forge Solar Modelling Assumptions

Axis tracking: Single

Tilt of tracking axis: 0 degrees (parallel to ground)

Orientation of tracking axis (Array Azimuth): 181 degrees (1 degree west of due south)

Offset angle of module (Panel tilt from tracking axis): 0 degrees

Maximum tracking angle: 60 degrees

Panel material: Smooth glass without anti-reflective coating

Vary reflectivity with sun position? Yes

Ground elevation: 2654 feet (809 m)

Height above ground: 3.0 feet to 10.0 feet (0.91 m – 3.09 m)

Confidential